Assessment Criteria

Applications will be evaluated against the following criteria:

- **Fit to the call remit**
  The application should be within the scope of the call looking at drivers of resistance in the context of Lower and Middle Income Countries (LMICs).

- **Importance**
  - Is the need for research on the targeted ABR well justified and does the proposal have the potential to add valuable additional insights to what is already known?
  - Does the proposal demonstrate the potential of the research to contribute to understanding and reducing the burden of ABR in LMICs?

- **Scientific Potential**
  - Is the proposed research potentially of high quality in relation to the highest international standards of scientific excellence?
  - Is the proposed research novel in its approach or context?
  - Is there an appropriate mix of innovative inter- and multi-disciplinary (social, economic, cultural, ecological, historical, environmental, technical and biological) research approaches?
  - Is the proposed research feasible?
  - Is the proposed research timely?
  - Is the team appropriate to conduct the proposed research (i.e. is there an appropriate mix of scientific disciplines working together)?
  - Does the partnership bring together complementary expertise in a meaningful intellectual collaboration?
  - Are the proposed roles of the partners clearly defined, with appropriate balanced divisions of responsibilities and resources between UK and developing country partners?
  - Are appropriate management plans in place to identify and address any potential failures to ensure success of the proposed research in a timely manner?
  - Where applicable, does the partnership build on willingness of communities and policy-makers to participate and thereby maximise potential benefits?

- **Ethics and research governance**
  - Have ethical risks been appropriately identified and are plans for the management of these appropriate?

- **Exploitation and dissemination**
  - Have the pathways to impact of the potential outcomes of the research been adequately considered and clearly presented including feasibility?

- **Development Phase**
  - Gaps in terms of the team, access to resources and plans are expected at the outline stage. Are gaps appropriately recognised and are plans to address these reasonable and feasible?
  - Will the proposed development activities support the drafting of a compelling full stage submission and are they appropriately justified?