1. **Important information**

Research Grants are focused projects, typically 3 years in duration and up to a maximum of 5 years. There are no set budget limits; small and larger-scale projects are invited. As a guide, applicants should note that approximately £4m is available for Research Grants under this call and value for money is an important part of the assessment criteria.

All projects must have a Principal Investigator (PI) based at either a UK Research Organisation (RO) or a RO in a Low or Middle Income Country (LMIC). It will be the ROs hosting the successful PIs that receive the funding and manage distribution of the funding to any Co-Investigator RO. PI's from High Income Countries (HICs) outside the UK are not eligible to apply for this scheme.

MRC administer the call for proposals on behalf of the funders and so all applications should be submitted to the MRC and will be awarded according to UKRI Terms and Conditions.

General information about how to apply to the MRC can be found in the MRC Guidance for Applicants: [https://mrc.ukri.org/documents/pdf/guidance-for-applicants/](https://mrc.ukri.org/documents/pdf/guidance-for-applicants/)

Where guidance in the present document differs from that in the MRC Guidance for Applicants, you should follow the direction in this present, scheme specific, document.
The application/review process in summary:

Research Grant proposals will undergo a 2-stage assessment process:

- **Outline stage application deadline**: 16:00 GMT 21st January 2020.
- **Outline panel meeting**: late March 2020.
- Successful applications will be notified and given feedback from the outline panel in April 2020 to be incorporated into full stage applications with a deadline for 18th June 2020. Please note, only successful outline applications will be invited to submit to the full stage of the call.
- Full stage applications will be sent out for external peer review and the applicants will be given the opportunity to respond to those external peer reviews in writing, before review at a panel meeting in October 2020.
- Decisions to be relayed in early November 2020.

Queries should be sent to [HSRI@mrc.ukri.org](mailto:HSRI@mrc.ukri.org)

2. Who can apply?

The intellectual challenge should be the determining factor when configuring appropriate partnerships and collaborations. Proposals must demonstrate equitable partnerships in line with the UK Collaborative on Development Research ‘Building Partnerships of Equals’ report, this includes demonstrating clear leadership roles across the proposed partnership. The balance of intellectual leadership and costs between high income countries and LMICs will be considered in the assessment of proposals.

**Principal Investigators:**

This call differs from the standard MRC rules as for this call Principal Investigators (PI) can be based either in the UK (as per usual MRC rules) or in an LMIC. The PI’s are responsible for the intellectual leadership of the research and for the overall management of the project. The PI will be the funding agencies’ main contact for the proposal.

Projects with PIs from LMICs are strongly encouraged and all proposals must include Co-Investigators (Co-Is) from the LMIC in which the research is taking place. For LMIC led proposals, funding is not dependent on the involvement of a UK-based research organisation.

Applicants without experience of UK funding are encouraged to seek mentorship or guidance on grant writing from colleagues with experience of winning UK funding.

For administrative purposes when completing the Je-S form, you will only be able to list one PI. While there is formally only one PI, you can make it clear in your Case for Support that the scientific leadership is shared and that in this respect, the applicants listed are Co-Principal Investigators.

It is not permitted for the same person to be PI on more than one Research Grant application submitted at the outline stage. It is possible to submit one Research Grant application and one Foundation Grant application within the same round.
Co-Investigators

The PI’s may be supported by a number of Co-I’s named on the application. A Co-I assist the PI in the management and leadership of the research project.

All UK and International PI’s and Co-I’s must have verified Je-S Accounts and must be added to the Je-S form under “Co-Investigator”. Please see section 7 ‘Creating a Je-S account’ for information on how to add an organisation on Je-S.

Project Partner/s

A Project Partner is an organisation or individual who is providing substantial contribution to the project and will not take any funds out of the project. Therefore, any persons already named on the proposal (e.g. as PI, Co-I or Named Researcher), should NOT also be included as a Project Partner.

For further guidance regarding Project Partners, please see MRC Guidance for applicants at who can apply (section 1.3.4).

Research Organisation Eligibility

UK PI’s must be based at one of the following:

- Higher Education Institutions
- Independent Research Organisation (IRO)
- UK Government Funded Organisation (other than MRC funded Units and Institutes)
- MRC Units/Institutes
- University Units (former MRC Units)

For further information on UK eligibility for research funding see eligibility.

LMIC PI’s must be based at one of the following:

- Higher Education Institutions
- Non-profit Research Institutions

The PI must be employed by an institution that is legally registered in the UK or LMIC. PIs cannot be based in a high income country outside the UK.

Many non-UK institutions and some UK organisations will not currently be recognised to hold UK Research and Innovation grants. Lead institutions which are not currently recognised will have to obtain recognition (further eligibility and financial checks) before any grant can be confirmed. In order to minimise administrative burdens and costs to both applicants and funders, formal recognition will only be pursued if the grant is successful.

If you are unsure about your organisation’s eligibility, please consult the Programme Manager, by contacting: HSRI@mrc.ukri.org.
3. Required documents for an outline application

Only applications submitted through Je-S will be recognised: [https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/](https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/)

Applications must be submitted by the PI or their research organisation on behalf of the research team. Outline applications must include the following:

- A completed application form on Je-S: All investigators **must** be included. This form reflects the project costs so please include all costs, UK or otherwise. See ‘Costs’ section for clarification.
- A jointly prepared Case for Support (see additional guidance below) must be uploaded as a Word or PDF attachment.
- CV’s and publication lists must be uploaded for all named investigators as a Word or PDF attachment.

All attachments should be completed in 11-point Arial typeface, with a minimum of 2cm margins. Applications will not be accepted where smaller or narrow typefaces have been used. If you exceed the maximum page length or attach extraneous documents, we may reject your application or return your application to you for amendment.

The online Je-S form requests information such as administrative details of the Investigators, financial information and summaries of your research. We recommend that applicants access the Je-S form well in advance of the deadline so that they can see the specific information that they will need to enter and can ensure that they and their Co-Is are registered on the system. It is fine to copy information between your pdf attachments and the Je-S form where there is overlap in information requested.

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mandatory Attachments</strong></th>
<th><strong>Conditions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case for support</td>
<td>Four Sides of A4 (plus one for references)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVs</td>
<td>Two sides of A4 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>One side of A4 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender equality statement</td>
<td>One side of A4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Optional Attachments</strong></th>
<th><strong>Conditions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Letters of Support</td>
<td>Two sides of A4 per letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the outline stage you do not need to submit a Justification for Resources, a Pathways to Impact statement or a Data Management Plan, these will only be required to be completed by successful outline applicants, when they submit to stage two of the call with a full Research Grant application.
4. Case for Support scheme specific guidance

Your Case for Support is a document including your scientific proposal, details of the research environment, people involved and references. Your Case for Support should indicate how your proposal fits the call specification for this scheme. Please use the following headings:

Research Project summary information

- Full title of the project (no more than 150 characters)
- In which country(ies) the project will take place
- Duration in months
- Total amount requested from this funding scheme
- Principal health systems research question to be addressed

Health Systems relevance and importance: why is this research needed now and in this proposed location?

What evidence is there that the answer to your research question is needed and wanted by relevant users, for instance, policy-makers, research users and decision makers.

Please consider issues such as burden of disease and priority for local, regional and national health systems and how this research will strengthen local health systems. Proposals must outline why the research is important to the particular LMIC context and engage with context specific academic and non-academic stakeholders. You must demonstrate knowledge of relevant health systems empirical literature, particularly from LMICs where relevant and propose how this research may contribute to this literature. Please ensure that the proposal clearly addresses a health system research question rather than, for example, only evaluating the effects of a specific health service intervention.

Theoretical Framework

Please describe how your research questions and methodologies are embedded within a suitable theoretical framework with reference to relevant scholarly literature. This framework could draw from any of the appropriate disciplines (economics, sociology, anthropology, political science etc.) and should demonstrate an understanding of theories and concepts that are relevant to your research focus. Where appropriate, proposals should also outline what contribution this research will make to an advance in theoretical knowledge.

Project and methodology description

Please describe your proposed research project, ensuring that you cover the following points:

- Where will the research take place and why this site(s)/context(s)?
- Who will the research participants be and why?
- What questions will be addressed and what are your research plans to address those questions?
  - Give details of the methodological approaches, study design and techniques that will be used.
  - Enough detail must be given to show why the research is likely to be competitive in its
Particular care should be taken to explain any innovation in the methodology or where you intend to develop new methods.

Please describe why your proposed methodology is the most appropriate and innovative way of addressing the research question.

- What pilot or preliminary information do you have available to help the panel assess the feasibility of the proposed study? Costly and complex studies are welcome but applicants should ensure that research questions remain tight and focused and that a potential Panel would be confident any large study could be managed effectively in the field.

- If you are testing delivery of an intervention, please be clear about what that intervention will consist of and why. How would delivery of the intervention relate to the broader health system and contribute to health systems strengthening.

- Consider how the findings from the proposed study, especially if it has a single disease focus, may inform work on other related conditions or diseases.

- If the research involves data collection or acquisition you must demonstrate that you have carried out a datasets review, and explicitly state why currently available datasets are inadequate for the proposed research.

- What is the proposed timeline?

- How will you evaluate the outcomes of the study?

If your methodology is an RCT, please contact HSRI@mrc.ukri.org to discuss the eligibility of your study for this scheme. While RCT’s are accepted it will be important to demonstrate how your proposed work is embedded in and relates to the wider health system in the proposed context. Applicants should note that the MRC, DFID, National Institute for Health Research and Wellcome currently fund a scheme for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in LMICs. For further information please visit the webpage for this scheme: https://mrc.ukri.org/funding/science-areas/international-and-global-health-research/funding-partnerships/joint-global-health-trials/

Research project team

- How does the team of investigators incorporate the range of discipline and experience necessary to carry out the study?
- To what extent is this application led and/or informed by LMIC researchers?
- Please ensure budget breakdowns between high income and LMIC researchers are appropriate with the aims of the scheme.
- Where numerous investigators are involved, applicants should consider appropriate management structures/advisory groups.
- How can the host institution demonstrate that it has the facilities and resources available to manage the study?
Capacity building

Please outline any plans for capacity building including, but not limited to:

- opportunities for mutual learning among all those involved in the project, for example, about the subject of the research, the context where it is being conducted, engagement with policy-makers and managers, research management
- co-design of research and implementation
- working collaboratively, across countries, disciplines and across practice-research boundaries
- field-based research methods training for less experienced staff
- opportunities for staff to author/co-author journal and conference papers and participate in national and international conferences
- providing mentoring to improve the capacity of less experienced researchers to generate new knowledge and attain policy impact
- building organisational capacity (for example in management, financial, communications)
- institutional capacity-building where relevant (such as the incentive structures, the political and regulatory context and the resource base in which research is undertaken and used by policymakers).

Successful proposals will also demonstrate a strong understanding of the local research context and ensure the research programme does not undermine local research capacity.

Research impact: How will the results of this study be used?

- What changes might be implemented as a result of the study?
- Who will make those changes happen and how?
- Might the results be generalizable beyond the immediate research setting?
- Please describe how this research will engage with in-country actors such as academic and non-academic stakeholders and policy makers. Research engagement strategies should reflect stakeholder priorities and any interventions that require government buy-in should demonstrate engagement with public sector actors.

Ethics

It is essential that applicants describe the ethical considerations that have informed the proposed research. Details of the ethical review and research governance arrangements that would apply to the proposal must be described.

Financial Information

- Are other funding partners involved? Who are the partners and what is the status of the discussions?
- In addition to the costings you have provided on Je-S, please provide a breakdown of the funding request per institution using the below table.
* UK institution costs are calculated at 74% of the Full Economic Costs. Costs incurred outside of the UK are ‘Exceptions’ and can be claimed at 100%.
Proposal History

Has an application for funding for this project been submitted previously to DFID, ESRC, MRC, Wellcome or another funding organisation? If so, please indicate the status of the previous application. If your project has been previously submitted to DFID, ESRC, MRC or Wellcome please contact the MRC in advance of submission to request approval for a resubmission. Please include in your e-mail a description of how you have revised the project design since your last submission, and, if you previously received feedback, please include a response to each feedback point.

CVs and publications

Please submit a maximum of 3 pages per investigator: 2 pages CV and a 1 page publication list.

Please compile all the documents into one PDF file and include the documents in the same order as the investigators are listed on your Je-S application form. Each publication list should immediately follow its corresponding CV.

We must receive a CV for each of the following:

- Principal Investigators
- Co-investigators
- Named individual research staff

Please see Section 2.2.1 and Section 2.2.2 of the MRC Guidance for Applicants for the full details on the requirements for CVs and Publications.

Letters of Support

At the outline stage, letters of support can be included in the application where available. These letters should come from relevant academic and non-academic stakeholders such as local or national government authorities, other public sector actors and project partners (e.g. industrial partners, NGOs). Each letter of support should be no longer than 2 pages A4.

Please see Section 2.2.7 of the MRC Guidance for Applicants for the full details on the requirements for Letters of Support for Project Partners.

Gender Equality Statement

Official Development Assistance provided by UKRI must comply with the requirements of the International Development (Gender Equality) Act 2014 which states, the “desirability of providing development assistance that is likely to contribute to reducing poverty in a way which is likely to contribute to reducing inequalities between persons of different gender”.

To comply with the International Development (Gender Equality) Act 2014, applications must provide a Gender Equality Statement, outlining how applicants have taken meaningful yet proportionate consideration as to how the project will contribute to reducing gender inequalities. This must be no longer than a one page and is a mandatory attachment.

Applicants are required to address the below criteria, with an understanding that, depending on the nature of their research and innovation, not all questions will be applicable.
Criteria to address while considering gender impact:

- Have measures been put in place to ensure equal and meaningful opportunities for people of different genders to be involved throughout the project? This includes the development of the project, the participants of the research and innovation, and the beneficiaries of the research and innovation.
- The expected impact of the project (benefits and losses) on people of different genders, both throughout the project and beyond.
- The impact on the relations between people of different genders and people of the same gender. For example, changing roles and responsibilities in households, society, economy, politics, power, etc.
- How will any risks and unintended negative consequences on gender equality be avoided or mitigated against, and monitored?
- Are there any relevant outcomes and outputs being measured, with data disaggregated by age and gender (where disclosed)?

Further guidance for applicants on Gender Equality Statements is available here.

5. Creating a Je-S account

All proposals submitted to this scheme are required to include investigators based in the LMIC where the research will take place.

All Overseas ROs/Institutes and individual applicants (PI and Co-Is), are required to be registered on the Je-S system.

Therefore, both UK organisations and overseas organisations are encouraged to contact the Je-S helpdesk at least two weeks before the call deadline of the 21st January 2020, so we can ensure that the overseas organisation (either Lead or Non-lead), has been correctly added to the Je-S System. Any delays could mean the proposal being rejected because of late submission.

Please login to your Je-S account using the username and password you have chosen (if you do not have a Je-S account, or have forgotten your password, please see the guidance provided further below). https://jes.rcuk.ac.uk/JeS2WebLoginSite/Logout.aspx

- New Je-S Users: In order to gain access to the Je-S System, Create an Account.
- Je-S users having problems successfully completing login to their Je-S account: Retrieve User Name / Password.
- Select ‘Documents’ from left hand menu list from your Je-S account home page
- Select ‘New Document’ from within the Functions/create section of your documents page
6. Creating your Je-S application:

- Select Council: MRC
- Select Document Type: Outline Proposal
- Select Scheme: MRC Jointly Funded Initiatives Outline
- Select Call/Type/Mode (optional): Joint Health Systems Research Initiative Call - Outline January 2020
- Select ‘Create Document’ option

Please telephone Je-S Helpdesk on: +44 (0)1793 444164 should you require any assistance with the Je-S System.

Please complete the administrative, summary and financial information as requested by the online Je-S form. The following information provides guidance on specific sections of the Je-S form which we note that applicants frequently required further assistance with.
**Entering costs in Je-S**

UK research will be funded at 74% of the Full Economic Cost (FEC). Research incurred by overseas ROs and investigators is eligible to be funded at 100% of FEC. Please see section 5. Resources – Full Economic Costing in the Guidance for Applicants for information on FEC.

**Funding for non-UK research institutions that have not previously received funding from MRC will be dependent on further eligibility and financial checks, to be conducted if the proposal is selected for funding. For further advice on eligibility, please contact HSRI@mrc.ukri.org.**

The screenshot below is taken from Je-S which will summarise the costs entered for your proposal. **It is important that before submitting your proposal that costs are checked.** All costs outside the UK must be entered as Exceptions. Costs related to the UK elements of the proposal (if applicable) must be entered as either directly incurred, directly allocated or indirect costs. Please read on for more detail on the funding available.
Funding available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Funding available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research costs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff – directly incurred post</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff – directly allocated posts (e.g. UK PI and Co-I time)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other research costs (including equipment, consumables)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptions: Overseas Staff/Research costs &amp; up to 20% indirect costs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studentships (PhD)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and subsistence for exchange/mobility activities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of workshops, meetings etc.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that research teams should consider the breakdown of budgets between UK/high income costs and LMIC project costs keeping in mind the aims of the call.

Directly Incurred and Directly Allocated are all UK Staff and Research costs (Travel, Consumables etc.), relevant to the project.

Indirect costs should be entered for UK ROs included in the project and should not include any overseas costs. Instructions on how to calculate and input indirect costs for LMIC investigators is provided below.

Exceptions: This heading should include all Overseas staff and Research costs (consumables, travel, up to 20% allowance for LMIC indirect costs, etc.).

Direct (salary) Costs:

Lead/PI’s & Co-I’s, can be based in the UK or an LMIC and should be costed as per usual MRC funding rules. LMIC PI’s & Co-I’s can claim 100% of their direct costs (direct costs = the total salary costs for each ‘Investigator’). These overseas costs should be entered as Exceptions and claimed at 100%.

Indirect (infrastructure) Costs:

MRC will also allow LMIC institutions to request a maximum of 20% indirect costs as a contribution to the overseas institution infrastructure cost’s that would be incurred by the overseas organisation(s) involved in the project. These indirect costs are calculated by adding all Investigator direct costs together and dividing this total cost requested by 5 (to calculate the 20% total).

Example (LMIC institution indirect costs):

LMIC PI total salary costs for the project = £20,000, LMIC Co-I (1), total salary costs for the project = £15,000, LMIC Co-I Travel & Subsistence + Fieldwork costs = £15,000.

With all these above overseas costs being requested as ‘Exceptions’ (100%), the total costs
claimed would equal £50,000. 20% of these total costs would equal £10,000 indirect costs.

MRC will expect these indirect costs to be requested as ‘Exceptions’ (100%) and detailed within the ‘Exceptions’ section of the ‘Resource Summary’ section of the Je-S Outline form.

Co-investigators

Co-Is can be based in the UK (these costs should be included as either Directly Allocated or Directly Incurred, depending which is relevant for each individual) as per usual MRC funding rules or in an LMIC as per usual MRC funding rules. LMIC Co-I’s can claim 100% of their direct costs and up to 20% of costs as indirect costs (for further guidance on these direct and indirect costs, please see the guidance above).

Co-Is can be based in high-income countries outside of the UK as per usual MRC funding rules. They can claim 100% of their direct costs but no indirect costs. These should be included within the Exceptions cost section.

However, as the scheme is intended to fund work in LMICs, high-income country applicants are advised to keep their costs claimed to a minimum.

Costing guidelines

For overseas PI’s and Co-I’s all travel and subsistence costs can be claimed at 100%. UK based researchers can only claim 74%, even if they are travelling to a LMIC for the project.

For overseas institutions, all other exceptional costs associated with the overseas organisation should be claimed under the appropriate fund heading as “exceptions” and entered as “Other Directly Incurred Costs”. These include consumables, consultancy fees, field work fees, equipment (under £10,000) and subcontracting.

Indirect and Estates Costs cannot be claimed by investigators in a high-income country based outside of the UK.

Project Partner/s

A Project Partner is an organisation or individual who is providing substantial contribution to the project and will not take any funds out of the project. Therefore, any persons already named on the proposal (e.g. as PI, Co-I or Named Researcher), should NOT also be included as a Project Partner.

For further guidance please see the MRC Guidance for applicants at https://www.mrc.ac.uk/funding/guidance-for-applicants/
7. Assessment Criteria

General information on the MRC’s approach to peer review is provided in the MRC Guidance for Applicants document.

The assessment panel for this scheme will consider whether outline applications are of world-class standard (being intellectually innovative, well-focused and methodologically sound), and whether the research has the potential to make real improvement to health in LMICs.

Peer reviewers and Panel members will be asked to comment on the following criteria in assessing the outline proposals:

Research Quality

- Scientific Rationale: novelty, importance and timeliness of the research and whether this is likely to lead to new understanding;
- Has the proposal outlined a need and justification for the proposed research area and situated the work within an existing body of literature?
- Has the proposal demonstrated engagement with relevant theoretical frameworks?

Impact

- Will this research generate evidence on how to strengthen and improve health systems for people living in LMICs?
- Has this research used a health systems approach to inform the delivery of evidence-based interventions or structural changes? Proposals must demonstrate how interventions relate to and affect wider elements of a health system such as governance, financing, health workforce, information systems, service delivery etc.
- Will this research provide evidence that is of direct relevance to decision makers and practitioners in the field?
- Has the proposal identified potential barriers to uptake of the research outcomes in the setting and proposed plans to overcome these?
- Has this proposal identified key factors relevant to the potential scalability of the research?

Research Management and People

- The suitability of the investigator group including track record(s) of the individuals in their field(s) and whether they are best-placed to deliver the proposed research.
- How have team members from different disciplines been included and how has their variety of input been embedded in the approach to research?
- The management strategy proposed, including equitable access to any shared resources and sufficient capability and time commitments of senior staff to steer and oversee the research.
- Links with local research/health institutions and involvement of investigators from LMIC countries;
- Have opportunities for research capacity building been embedded into research plans?

Methodology

- The feasibility of experimental plans, statistics, methodology and design, including provision of
sample size calculations, strategies to avoid bias, and preliminary data where appropriate;

- Is the design of the study appropriate to answer the question?
- Is the timeline realistic and achievable?
- Has the methodology been underpinned by a relevant theoretical or conceptual framework.

**Ethics**

- Comments on ethical and/or research governance issues, including whether proposed research is ethically acceptable and the appropriateness of ethical review and research governance arrangements.

**Data Management Plan**

- Is there a sound plan for managing the research data, taking into account the types, scale and complexity of data being (or to be) managed and also the likely long-term value for further research including by sharing data.

**Resources Requested**

- Does the proposed research demonstrate good value for money?
- Are the funds requested essential and justified by the importance and scientific potential of the research?
8. Data Protection for Guidance

Privacy Notice
All personal data provided to the MRC as part of UK Research and Innovation via the Je-S form will be processed in accordance with current UK data protection legislation. Please see Je-S terms and conditions for guidance on how personal data collected from applicants is used (https://je-s.rcuk.ac.uk/Handbook/Index). Further information on how we use personal data can also be found in the UK Research and Innovation Privacy Notice (https://www.ukri.org/privacy-notice/). Information on the terms and conditions that guide the general management of funded grants can be found in the MRC’s Guidance for Applicants (https://mrc.ukri.org/documents/pdf/guidance-for-applicants/).

What will be shared and with whom?

As the Global Health Systems Initiative scheme is a jointly funded scheme, information will be shared between the partners, the Department for International Development (DFID), the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), the Medical Research Council (MRC) and Wellcome.

The data that you provide will be held securely in accordance with the MRC IT and Records Management policies. It will be retained in accordance with the Medical Research Council’s disposition schedule for the following schedules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business process</th>
<th>Record type</th>
<th>Retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Grant programme policy file</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Grant programme board agenda, minutes and papers (e-volume/CD)</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Grant programme board assessment feedback</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Grant programme board administration and correspondence</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Triage meeting agendas, minutes and papers</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Triage decision spreadsheet</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Application processing statistics and summaries</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Successful applications</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Unfunded applications (unsuccessful, withdrawn, not accepted)</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1 Grants</td>
<td>Grant summary record (Siebel etc.)</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Clinical trials oversight and monitoring information (incl. protocols and annual reports)</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Research management administration</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Systems training</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The retention periods cited are those in force at the time of writing and are subject to change due to periodic revision of the Medical Research Council’s disposition schedule. Information about the retention periods currently in force may be obtained from the Medical Research Council by emailing Corporate@mrc.ukri.org  The data provided may also be aggregated and anonymised for the purposes of reporting and analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10.6 Research Management</th>
<th>Information Systems manuals/guidance</th>
<th>1 year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Induction material</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Council Operating Procedures/Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Interfaces with other organisations</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6 Research Management</td>
<td>Research Portfolio files</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1 Strategy</td>
<td>Research strategy documentation</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1 Strategy</td>
<td>Internal working groups meeting agendas, minutes and</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2 Evaluation</td>
<td>Corporate reports (scorecard, economic impact etc.)</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2 Evaluation</td>
<td>Data analysis and reporting (eVal raw data, SQL queries, reports)</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2 Evaluation</td>
<td>Commissioned evaluation and bibliometric reports</td>
<td>Permanently</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>